# Application of low-rank approximation for nonlinear system identification

Ivan Markovsky







System identification via low-rank approximation

## Outline

## Polynomially time-invariant model class

System identification via low-rank approximation

# General setup: linearly parameterized discrete-time nonlinear systems

kernel: 
$$R(\underbrace{w(t), w(t-1), \dots, w(t-\ell)}_{x(t)}) = 0$$

special case: input/output NARX system

$$\mathscr{B} = \left\{ w = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mid y(t) = f(u(t), w(t-1), \dots, w(t-\ell)) \right\}$$

linearly parameterized model  $\mathscr{B}_{\theta}$ 

$$R(x) = \sum heta_i \phi_i(x) = heta \phi(x),$$
  $\phi$  — model structure  $heta$  — parameter vector

# Example: single-input single-output polynomially time-invariant model

 $\phi$  is a vector of monomials  $\phi_i := x_1^{n_{i1}} \cdots x_{n_x}^{n_{i_x}}$ 

the structure  $\phi$  is defined by the degrees matrix

$$\phi \leftrightarrow [n_{ij}] \in \mathbb{N}^{n_{\phi} \times n_{x}}$$

polynomially time-invariant (PTI) model class

$$\mathscr{P}_{\ell,n} := \{ \mathscr{B}_{\theta} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\theta}} \}, \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \ell & - & \mathsf{lag} \\ n & := & \mathsf{max}_{i,j} \ n_{ij} \end{array}$$

Our goal is to find PTI model from data:  $(w(1), ..., w(T)) \mapsto \mathscr{B} \in \mathscr{P}_{\ell,n}$ 

- 1. structure selection: find  $\phi$
- 2. parameter estimation: find  $\theta$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \text{over } \theta \text{ and } \widehat{w} & \|w - \widehat{w}\| \\ \text{subject to} & \widehat{w} \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta} \end{array} \tag{NL SYSID}$ 



## System identification via low-rank approximation

# Link to low-rank approximation

$$w \in \mathscr{B}_{\theta}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$R(x(t)) = \theta^{\top} \phi(x(t)) = 0, \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, T - \ell$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\theta^{\top} [\phi(x(1)) \cdots \phi(x(T-\ell))] = 0$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$rank (\Phi(w)) \le n_{\phi} - 1$$

 $(NLSYSID) \iff Iow-rank approximation$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \text{over } \widehat{w} & \|w - \widehat{w}\|_2 \\ \text{subject to} & \text{rank} \left( \Phi(\widehat{w}) \right) \leq n_\phi - 1 \end{array} \tag{SLRA}$$

non-convex optimization problem

there are no efficient solution methods

heuristic method: ignore the structure of  $\Phi(\widehat{w})$ 

minimize over 
$$\theta \neq 0$$
  $\|\theta^{\top}\Phi(w)\|_2$  (LRA)

# Structure selection via sparsity regularization

select "large" model class  $\mathscr{P}_{\ell,\mathrm{n}}$  and impose sparsity on  $\theta$ 

minimize over 
$$\theta \neq 0$$
  $\|\theta^{\top} \Phi(w)\|_2 + \gamma \|\theta\|_1$ 

 $\gamma$  controls the sparsity level

$$\blacktriangleright \gamma = 0 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad (\mathsf{LRA}) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathsf{full} \ \theta$$

$$\quad \gamma \! \rightarrow \! \infty \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \theta \rightarrow 0$$

selected, so that # nonzero elements = given number



System identification via low-rank approximation

## Unstructured LRA is biased

the heuristic method ignoring the structure of  $\Phi(\widehat{w})$ minimize over  $\theta \neq 0 ||\theta^{\top} \Phi(w)||_2$ is easy to compute, but biased ( $\mathbf{E}(\theta) \neq \overline{\theta}$ ) in the EIV setup  $w = \overline{w} + \widetilde{w}$ , where  $\overline{w} \in \overline{\mathscr{B}}$  and  $\widetilde{w} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ 

## The bias can be corrected for

define 
$$\Psi := \Phi(w) \Phi^{ op}(w)$$
 and  $\bar{\Psi} := \Phi(\bar{w}) \Phi^{ op}(\bar{w})$ 

goal: construct "corrected" matrix  $\Psi_c$ , such that

 $\mathbf{E}(\Psi_{c}) = \bar{\Psi}$ 

then solve

minimize over  $\theta \neq 0$   $\|\theta^{\top} \Psi_{c}(w)\|_{2}$ 

# Derivation of the correction

Hermite polynomials  $h_k(x)$  have the property

 $\mathbf{E}(h_k(\bar{x}+\widetilde{x})) = \bar{x}^k$ , where  $\widetilde{x} \sim N(0,\sigma^2)$  (\*)

with w = (u, y), the (i, j)th element of  $\Psi = \Phi \Phi^{\top}$  is  $\sum (\bar{u} + \tilde{u})^{n_{u,i} + n_{u,j}} (\bar{y} + \tilde{y})^{n_{y,i} + n_{y,j}}$ 

then, by (\*)

$$\phi_{\mathsf{c},ij} := \sum h_{n_{u,i}+n_{u,j}}(u) h_{n_{y,i}+n_{y,j}}(y)$$

has the desired property

$$\mathsf{E}(\psi_{\mathsf{c},ij}) = \sum \bar{u}^{n_{u,i}+n_{u,j}} \bar{y}^{n_{y,i}+n_{y,j}} =: \bar{\psi}_{ij}$$

# Unbiased estimator

the corrected  $\Psi_c$  is an even polynomial in  $\sigma$ 

$$\Psi_{\rm c}(\sigma^2) = \Psi_{\rm c,0} + \sigma^2 \Psi_{\rm c,1} + \dots + \sigma^{2n_{\psi}} \Psi_{\rm c,n_{\psi}}$$

estimate:  $\Psi_{c}(\sigma^{2})\theta = 0$ 

computing simultaneously  $\sigma$  and  $\theta$  is polynomial EVP



System identification via low-rank approximation