# Bidiagonalization as a fundamental decomposition of data in linear approximation problems 
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Let \(A\) be a nonzero \(n\) by \(k\) real matrix, and \(b\) be a nonzero real \(n\)-vector. Consider estimating \(x\) from the linear approximation problem
\[
\begin{equation*}
A x \approx b \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where the uninteresting case is for clarity of exposition excluded by the obvious assumption \(b \not \perp \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})\), that is \(A^{T} b \neq 0\). In a sequence of papers \([1,2,3]\) it was proposed to orthogonally transform the the original data \(b, A\) into the form
\[
P^{T}[b \| A Q]=\left[\begin{array}{c||c|c}
b_{1} & A_{11} & 0  \tag{2}\\
\hline 0 & 0 & A_{22}
\end{array}\right],
\]
where \(P^{-1}=P^{T}, Q^{-1}=Q^{T}, b_{1}=\beta_{1} e_{1}\), and \(A_{11}\) is a lower bidiagonal matrix with nonzero bidiagonal elements. The matrix \(A_{11}\) is either square, when (1) is compatible, or rectangular, when (1) is incompatible. The matrix \(A_{22}\), and the corresponding block row and column in (2), can be nonexistent. The original problem is in this way decomposed into the approximation problem
\[
\begin{equation*}
A_{11} x_{1} \approx b_{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the remaining part \(A_{22} x_{2} \approx 0\). It was proposed to find \(x_{1}\) from (3), set \(x_{2}=0\), and substitute for the solution of (1)
\[
x \equiv Q\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}  \tag{4}\\
0
\end{array}\right] .
\]

The (partial) upper bidiagonalization of \([b, A]\) described above has remarkable properties, see \([3\), Theorems 2.2, 3.2 and 3.3].
- First, the lower bidiagonal matrix \(A_{11}\) with nonzero bidiagonal elements has full column rank and its singular values are simple. Consequently, any zero singular values or repeats that \(A\) has must appear in \(A_{22}\).
- Second, \(A_{11}\) has minimal dimensions, and \(A_{22}\) has maximal dimensions, over all orthogonal transformations giving the block structure in (2), without any additional assumptions on the structure of \(A_{11}\) and \(b_{1}\).
- Finally, all components of \(b_{1}=\beta_{1} e_{1}\) in the left singular vector subspaces of \(A_{11}\), that is, the first elements of all left singular vectors of \(A_{11}\) (multiplied by \(\beta_{1} \neq 0\) ), are nonzero.

In the approach represented by (1)-(4), the data \(b, A\) are fundamentally decomposed. The necessary and sufficient information for solving the problem (1) is given by \(b_{1}, A_{11}\). All irrelevant and repeated information is filtered out to \(A_{22}\). The problem (3) is therefore called a core problem within (1).

The core problem formulation can be used to solve least squares, scaled total least squares and data least squares problems. The core problem solutions are identical to the minimal 2-norm solutions of all formulations of the (scaled) total least squares problem with the single right hand side [4]. It gives the minimum norm solution determined by the algorithm of Golub and Van Loan [5], [4, Theorem 3.7, p. 58], if it exists. If such a solution does not exist, then the core problem approach gives the nongeneric minimum norm (scaled) total least squares solution described by Van Huffel and Vandewalle [4, Theorem 3.12, p. 72]. In this way, one simple and efficient approach can be applied to different classes of problems. The core problem formulation also offers a new theoretical insight into hybrid methods for solving noisy ill-posed problems [6, Section 6.6], and several authors have reported promising preliminary results in this direction.

In our contribution we will review the theory and recent applications of the core problem formulation, and describe the status of investigation of several open questions.
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