

**Robust counterparts of
errors-in-variables problems**

G Alistair Watson

Division of Mathematics

University of Dundee

Given (1) Data $(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i), i = 1, \dots, m$

$$y_i \in R, \mathbf{x}_i \in R^t$$

(2) Model $y = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x})$.

Find $\mathbf{a} \in R^n$.

Assumption: Errors in all variables.

Total least squares

$$A : A_{ij} = \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i), i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r} = (A + E)\mathbf{a} \quad (\text{model equations})$$

minimize $\|E : \mathbf{r}\|_F^2$.

Robust counterpart

Uncertainty set \mathcal{E} , $(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, A + E) \in \mathcal{E}$.

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, A + E) \in \mathcal{E}} \|\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r} - (A + E)\mathbf{a}\|$$

Interpretation: minimize $\|\tilde{\mathbf{y}} - \tilde{A}\mathbf{a}\|$ w.r.t. \mathbf{a} over the **worst** of perturbations defined by $(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}, \tilde{A}) \in \mathcal{E}$.

$\|\cdot\|$ denotes l_2 or Frobenius norm.

Example

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, A + E : \|\mathbf{r}\| \leq \rho_1, \|E\| \leq \rho_2\}.$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{\|\mathbf{r}\| \leq \rho_1, \|E\| \leq \rho_2} \|\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r} - (A + E)\mathbf{a}\|.$$

Solution \mathbf{a} minimizes

$$\|\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{a}\| + \rho_2\|\mathbf{a}\|.$$

Good methods available: Golub, El Ghaoui,...

TLS : $y \rightarrow y + r, A \rightarrow A + E,$

$$\phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i) \rightarrow \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i) + E_{ij},$$

$$y + r = (A + E)\mathbf{a}.$$

(Structure on E : Beck, van Huffel,...)

Errors-in-variables: $y \rightarrow y + r,$

$$\phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i) \rightarrow \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{s}_i).$$

$$y_i + r_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{s}_i), i = 1, \dots, m.$$

(Model equations)

Minimise $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{s}_i\|^2.$

Orthogonal distance regression (ODR)

Robust Counterpart

Uncertainty set \mathcal{E} .

$$(y + r, x_i + s_i, i = 1, \dots, m) \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Problem: find

$$\min_a \max_{(y+r, x_i+s_i, i=1, \dots, m) \in \mathcal{E}} \|\mathbf{v}\|,$$

$$v_i = y_i + r_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{s}_i), i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Interpretation: if

$$\tilde{z}_i = \tilde{y}_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \phi_j(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i), i = 1, \dots, m,$$

minimize $\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}\|$ w.r.t. \mathbf{a} over the **worst** of perturbations defined by $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_m) \in \mathcal{E}$.

Ideal. Nonlinear in s_i . Assume $\phi_j \in C^1$.
Then for all i ,

$$v_i = w_i + O(\|s_i\|^2),$$

where

$$w_i = y_i + r_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{s}_i$$

$$= z_i + r_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{s}_i,$$

$$z_i = y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i).$$

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{a}.$$

Problem Find

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}_i+\mathbf{s}_i, i=1, \dots, m) \in \mathcal{E}} \|\mathbf{w}\| \quad (1)$$

for different uncertainty sets.

Pointwise uncertainty

Define $\mathbf{s}^T = (\mathbf{s}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m^T)$. Let

$$S_1 = \{(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) : |r_i| \leq \rho_i, |(\mathbf{s}_i)_j| \leq \gamma_{ij}, \\ i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, t\},$$

where $\rho_i, \gamma_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, t$ are given.

Uncertainty set

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \{\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{s}_i, i = 1, \dots, m : (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) \in S_1\}.$$

Problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) \in S_1} \|\mathbf{w}\|. \quad (2)$$

Define

$$G_i = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \dots \\ \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_n(\mathbf{x}_i) \end{bmatrix} \in R^{n \times t}, i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and let

$$D_i = \text{diag}\{\gamma_{i1}, \dots, \gamma_{it}\}, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Theorem 1 Let $\mathbf{a}^* \in R^n$ solve

$$\text{minimize } \|\mathbf{c}\|, \text{ where} \quad (3)$$

$$c_i = |z_i| + \rho_i + \|D_i G_i^T \mathbf{a}\|_1, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Then \mathbf{a}^* solves (2).

Proof Involves upper bound on each $|w_i|$, which we can show can be attained. ■

Tractable? Problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } h \text{ subject to} \\ & \|\mathbf{u}\| \leq h, \\ & |z_i| + \rho_i + \|D_i G_i^T \mathbf{a}\|_1 \leq u_i, i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Second order cone programming problem (SOCP).
Good interior point methods exist (Boyd,..)

l_1 norm in (2). Linear l_1 problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \{\|\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{a}\|_1 + \|M\mathbf{a}\|_1\},$$

(M depends on data).

Chebyshev norm in (2). SOCP.

Now define

$S_2 = \{(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) : |r_i| \leq \rho_i, \|\mathbf{s}_i\| \leq \gamma_i, i = 1, \dots, m\}$,
where $\rho_i, \gamma_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ are given.

(Same as before if $t = 1$).

$\mathcal{E}_2 = \{\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{s}_i, 1 = 1, \dots, m : (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) \in S_2\}$,

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) \in S_2} \|\mathbf{w}\|. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 2 Let $\mathbf{a}^* \in R^n$ be a solution to the problem

$$\text{minimize } \|\mathbf{c}\|, \text{ where} \quad (5)$$

$$c_i = |z_i| + \rho_i + \gamma_i \|G_i^T \mathbf{a}\|, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Then \mathbf{a}^* solves (4).

Proof Much as before. ■

Problem can be restated:

minimize h subject to

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{t}\| &\leq h, \\ \gamma_i \|G_i^T \mathbf{a}\| &\leq t_i - z_i - \rho_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ \gamma_i \|G_i^T \mathbf{a}\| &\leq t_i + z_i - \rho_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.\end{aligned}$$

SOCP.

Can replace bounds on s_i by $\|s_i\|_A \leq \gamma_i$ for arbitrary norm; can use other norms on \mathbf{w} . Eg l_∞, l_1 gives linear l_1 problem; l_2, l_∞ , SOCP.

Normwise uncertainty

Define

$$S_3 = \{(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) : \|\mathbf{r}\| \leq \rho, \|s_i\| \leq \gamma_i, i = 1, \dots, m\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_3 = \{\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}_i + s_i, i = 1, \dots, m : (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) \in S_3\},$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) \in S_3} \|\mathbf{w}\|. \quad (6)$$

For any \mathbf{a} and $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) \in S_3$,

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{r} - \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{a}^T G_i \mathbf{s}_i,$$

$$\|\mathbf{w}\| \leq \|\mathbf{z}\| + \rho + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \|G_i^T \mathbf{a}\|.$$

Upper bound sum of Euclidean norms (minimized by interior point methods). But not attained.

Natural question Since TLS case requires we minimize

$$\|\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{a}\| + \rho_2 \|\mathbf{a}\|.$$

What does this form achieve in the e-i-v case?

For any \mathbf{s} , define M by

$$M^T = [G_1 \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, G_m \mathbf{s}_m].$$

Theorem 3. Let \mathbf{a}^* minimize

$$\|\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{a}\| + \gamma\|\mathbf{a}\|. \quad (7)$$

Then if $G_i^T \mathbf{a}^* \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$, \mathbf{a}^* solves the problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{\|\mathbf{r}\| \leq \rho, \frac{\|M\mathbf{a}\|}{\|\mathbf{a}\|} \leq \gamma} \|\mathbf{w}\|. \quad (8)$$

Proof. Not difficult. ■

Artificial uncertainty set.

Define

$$\mathbf{d}^T = [r_1, \dots, r_m, \mathbf{s}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m^T],$$

so that $\mathbf{d} \in R^{m(1+t)}$.

$$\mathcal{E}_4 = \{\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s}, \|\mathbf{d}\| \leq \rho\}.$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{\|\mathbf{d}\| \leq \rho} \|\mathbf{w}\|. \quad (9)$$

Now let

$$E(\mathbf{s}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1^T G_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{s}_m^T G_m^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w} &= \mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{r} - E(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{a} \\ &= \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r} - (A + E(\mathbf{s}))\mathbf{a} \\ &= \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{d}) - G(\mathbf{d})\mathbf{a} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(\mathbf{d}) = A + \sum_i d_i A_i,$$

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{y} + \sum_i d_i \mathbf{b}_i.$$

Problem is to find

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{\|\mathbf{d}\| \leq \rho} \|G(\mathbf{d})\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{d})\|. \quad (10)$$

Structured robust problem (El Ghaoui,...). Pose as semi-definite programming problem (interior point methods).

Note: l_2 norm on perturbation size implies a correlated bound.

Variants are:

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{\|\mathbf{d}\|_{\infty} \leq \rho} \|G(\mathbf{d})\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{d})\|_{\infty},$$

or

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{\|\mathbf{d}\|_1 \leq \rho} \|G(\mathbf{d})\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{d})\|_1.$$

These can be posed as LP problems (Hindi and Boyd).

Nonlinear models

$$y = f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}).$$

Problem: find

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}_i+\mathbf{s}_i, i=1, \dots, m) \in \mathcal{E}} \|\mathbf{v}\|,$$

$$v_i = y_i + r_i - f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{s}_i), i = 1, \dots, m.$$

As before, settle for solving

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \max_{(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}_i+\mathbf{s}_i, i=1, \dots, m) \in \mathcal{E}} \|\mathbf{w}\| \quad (11)$$

where

$$w_i = y_i + r_i - f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}_i) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{s}_i, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Particular choice of \mathcal{E} gives problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})\| + \rho \|\mathbf{a}\|\}$$

Gauss-Newton method. Subproblem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{d}} \{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{d}\| + \rho \|\mathbf{d}\|\}.$$

Familiar problem from TLS case.

Concluding Comments

- Extends robust counterparts of TLS problems to e-i-v context (deal explicitly with perturbations of variable values)
- Range of uncertainty sets
- The problem (1) can be solved for range of these
- Pose as standard convex problems (SOCP, SDP) for which good IP methods are available
- Other norms can be dealt with (eg pose as LP)
- Can extend to nonlinear problems