



Fourth Total Least Squares and Errors-in-Variables Modelling Workshop

Model-Based Control in the Errors-in-Variables Framework

Jens G. Linden

Supervisors: Prof K. J. Burnham & B. Vinsonneau

 23^{th} August 2006 Control Theory and Applications Centre Coventry University

- A modified EIV setup for control
- Model based control in the EIV framework
- EIV-Kalman filter/EIV-extended Kalman filter
- Simulation results
- Conclusive remarks & further work

A modification of the EIV-setup

• Classical EIV-setup:



- $u_0(k)$: noise free input
- $y_0(k)$: noise free output
- $\tilde{u}(k)$: input measurement noise
- $ilde{y}(k)$: output measurement noise
- u(k): available system input
- y(k): available system output

• Modified EIV-setup: control action u(k) assumed to be known, $u_0(k)$ unknown



 $egin{array}{lll} ilde{u}(k) &: & {
m unobserved input} \ u_0(k) &: & {
m true system input} \end{array}$

Classical model-based control setup

• Model-based control:



- r(k) reference signal
- u(k) control action/available input
- $y_0(k)$ true system output
- $\tilde{y}(k)$ output noise (measurement, model mismatch)
- $\hat{ heta}(k)$ online estimate of system parameters heta

Model based control in the EIV framework

• Objective: Obtain estimates of $u_0(k)$ and $y_0(k)$ for the purpose of model based control



$$\begin{split} &\tilde{u}(k) & \text{unobserved input} \\ &u_0(k) & \text{true system input} \\ &w(k) := \begin{bmatrix} y(k) \\ u(k) \end{bmatrix}, \hat{w}_0(k) := \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_0(k) \\ \hat{u}_0(k) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

4/11

• Controllers:

- 1. PID controller
- 2. General Minimum Variance Controller (GMVC), *l*-step ahead controller, minimises cost function

$$V(P,Q,R) = E\left[\left[Py(k+l) - Rr(k)\right]^{2} + \left[Qu(k)\right]^{2}\right]$$
(1)

• Estimator: Recursive least squares (RLS) estimator

• Filters:

- 1. Kalman filter (Kf)
- 2. EIV-Kalman filter (EIV-Kf) (*Guidorzi et al. 2002*)
- 3. EIV-extended Kalman filter (EIV-eKf) (Vinsonneau et al. 2005)

EIV-Kalman filter (EIV-Kf)

- Estimate noise components $\tilde{u}(k)$, $\tilde{y}(k)$, hence providing estimate $\hat{w}_0(k)$
- Assumptions:
 - Linear time-invariant (LTI) system with known parameter heta
 - Additive input/output white noise sequences with known covariance matrix

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{y}\tilde{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\tilde{y}} & \sigma_{\tilde{y}\tilde{u}} \\ \sigma_{\tilde{u}\tilde{y}} & \sigma_{\tilde{u}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

with

$$\tilde{\sigma}_y = E[\tilde{y}^2(k)], \quad \tilde{\sigma}_u = E[\tilde{u}^2(k)], \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{uy}^T = \tilde{\sigma}_{yu} = E[\tilde{y}(k)\tilde{u}(k)]$$
(3)

 $\sigma_{\tilde{y}}$, $\sigma_{\tilde{u}}$, are mutually correlated, uncorrelated with $u_0(k)$

• Here modified for unity delay in control systems

$$u(k) \to u(k-1), \qquad \qquad \tilde{u}(k) \to \tilde{u}(k-1)$$
 (4)

- Extension of EIV-Kf \rightarrow EIV-eKf \neq eKf for EIV in poster
 - Relaxation of LTI assumption \rightarrow ability to handle linear time-varying (LTV) systems and/or model mismatch
 - Utilises default linear model characterised by $\theta_d \neq \theta$
- Typical illustrative example:



Simulation study: a SISO case

• 20 Monte-Carlo iterations with N = 500 samples

• Noise setup:
$$E\left[\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}(k)\\ \tilde{u}(k-1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}(k) & \tilde{u}(k-1) \end{bmatrix}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0.50 & 0.30\\ 0.30 & 0.40 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (5)

• Reference signal: low-pass interpolated white noise:



- Performance indices:
 - 1. Filter performance: $P_1 = (\|w_0 w\|_F \|w_0 \hat{w}_0\|_F) / \|w_0 w\|_F$
 - 2. Estimation performance: $P_2 = 1/N \sum_{k=1}^N ||\theta \hat{\theta}(k)||_2^2$
 - 3. Control performance: $P_3 = 1/N \sum_{k=1}^N ||r(k) y_0(k)||_2^2$

Example 1: LTI SISO system

• LTI system:
$$y(k) = 1.20y(k-1) - 0.81y(k-2) + 0.27y(k-3)$$

 $0.10u(k-1) + 0.17u(k-2) + 0.08u(k-3)$ (6)

• True system parameters and noise covariance matrix assumed known



Example 2: Nonlinear SISO system

• Nonlinear system:
$$y(k) = 1.20y(k-1) - 0.81y(k-2) + 0.27y(k-3) + 0.10u(k-1) + 0.17u(k-2) + 0.08u(k-3) + 0.08u(k-1)y(k-1) + 0.05u^2(k-1)$$
 (7)

• Default model: dynamical Frisch scheme off-line estimate



10/11

Conclusions:

- Modification of the EIV setup for control purposes
- Potential benefits of EIV filtering techniques in terms of control performance for linear and nonlinear case

Further work:

- Use of estimated noise covariances matrix
- Joint EIV filtering and identification (*e.g.* Particle filters, Recursive Total Least Squares, Recursive Frisch scheme)
- Application to real plant through our industrial collaborators (Jaguar cars, Converteam Limited, Rolls Royce, ...)